arXiv:1512.05108v3 [hep-th] 4 Mar 2016

Scalar waves from a star orbiting a BTZ black hole

Xing-Hua Wu, Ran Li, Jun-Kun Zhao

Department of Physics, Henan Normal University, Xin Xiang, 453007, China

Abstract

In this paper we compute the decay rates of massless scalar waves excited by a star circularly orbiting around the non-extremal (general) and extremal BTZ black holes. These decay rates are compared with the corresponding quantities computed in the corresponding dual conformal field theories respectively. We find that matches are achieved in both cases.

PACS numbers: 04.70.-s, 04.62.+v, 04.60.Cf

1 Introduction

In the conjecture of AdS/CFT correspondence [1], an issue frequently examined is how far one can go under this correspondence. Lots of evidences of this correspondence have been achieved by matching of corresponding quantities computed on two sides.

For example, decay rates of various propagating waves on a variety of spacetime backgrounds are compared to the decay rates computed in corresponding dual CFT's [2–6]. Quasi-normal modes of black hole spacetimes are matched to the poles of correlation functions of CFT [7].

Recently, decay rates of excitations induced by orbiting star near the horizon of extremal-rotating black hole have been compared with the corresponding quantities computed in the boundary CFT [9, 10]. Since the near-horizon limit of rotating black holes are expected to be dual to some CFT's on the boundary, e.g., the Kerr/CFT correspondence, the matching of the decay rates computed on two sides is natural.

A general (non-extremal rotating) BTZ black hole [8] is expected to be dual to a CFT on the boundary controlled by two copies of Virasoro algebras: left and right movers. The matching of decay rates in Ref. [3] and the matching of quasinormal modes

to poles in Ref. [7], among many others, are successful examples of the BTZ/CFT correspondence. So an interesting question is whether some matching can be achieved for this BTZ/CFT correspondence if one adding to the system an orbiting source just like what is done in [9, 10]. Sect.2 will discuss this question.

Near horizon extremal BTZ black hole is expected to be dual to a chiral 2D CFT [12, 13]. So it is also interesting to ask, when an orbiting star is added to the black hole system, whether the matching of decay rates computed in the two sides can be achieved. This will be investigated in Sect.3.

For the BTZ spacetime, it is simple enough to give analytic results. On the other hand, it appears frequently as near horizon portion of other interesting spacetime, e.g., from various supergravity models. So explorations of BTZ/CFT correspondence from different viewpoints are very useful.

2 Non-extremal BTZ/Non-chiral CFT

2.1 Decay rate on black hole side

In this subsection, we will compute the decay rate of massless scalar wave excited by a star circularly orbiting around the BTZ black hole.

The BTZ black hole is a solution to the vacuum Einstein equations in 2+1 dimensions with a negative cosmological constant $\Lambda = -1/\ell^2$. In Schwarzschild-like coordinates, the BTZ metric is [8]

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + N^{-2} dr^2 + r^2 \left(d\phi + N^\phi dt\right)^2 \tag{1}$$

with lapse and shift functions

$$N^2 = \frac{(r^2 - r_+^2)(r^2 - r_-^2)}{\ell^2 r^2}, \tag{2}$$

$$N^\phi = -\frac{r_+ r_-}{\ell\, r^2} \tag{3}$$

where the r_+ and r_- are the locations of the outer and inner horizons and related to the mass and the angular momentum of the black hole:

$$M = \frac{{r_+}^2 + {r_-}^2}{8G\ell^2}, \qquad J = \frac{r_+ r_-}{4G\ell}\ . \tag{4}$$

The Hawking temperature is

$$T_{\rm H} = \frac{r_+^2 - r_-^2}{2\pi r_+ \ell} \tag{5}$$

For convenience in the following, one can define the following coordinate, [7]

$$x^+ = \frac{1}{\ell}(r_+ t/\ell - r_- \phi)\,, \quad x^- = \frac{1}{\ell}(-r_- t/\ell + r_+ \phi)\,, \quad z = \frac{r^2 - r_+^2}{r^2 - r_-^2} \tag{6}$$

Only the dynamics outside the event horizon, $r > r_+$, will be considered in this paper, so we have $0 < z < 1$. In this coordinate the metric becomes,

$$ds^2 = \ell^2 \left(-\frac{z}{1-z}(dx^+)^2 + \frac{1}{1-z}(dx^-)^2 + \frac{1}{4z(1-z)^2} dz^2 \right) \tag{7}$$

Now consider a star moving outside the black hole along a circular orbit with constant radial coordinate, $z_* = z_0$. Its conserved energy and angular momentum can be determined by two Killing vectors, $\xi_{(t)} = \partial_t$ and $\xi_{(\phi)} = \partial_\phi$,

$$E = -g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu \xi^\nu_{(t)}\,, \qquad L = g_{\mu\nu} u^\mu \xi^\nu_{(\phi)} \tag{8}$$

where $u^\mu = \dot{x}^\mu_* = \frac{dx^\mu_*}{d\tau}$ is the four-velocity of the particle. From these two equations, one can solve out the locus of the orbiting particle

$$x^+_* = \frac{1-z_0}{z_0} \frac{r_+ E - r_- L/\ell}{r_+^2 - r_-^2} \tau + x_0^+ \tag{9}$$

$$x^-_* = (1-z_0) \frac{r_+ L/\ell - r_- E}{r_+^2 - r_-^2} \tau + x_0^- \tag{10}$$

where $x_0^\pm$ are two constants and could be chosen to be vanishing for convenience. The energy and the angular momentum is constrained by the mass-shell condition [14],

$$-1 = \ell^2 \left(-\frac{z_0}{1-z_0} \dot{x}_*^{+2} + \frac{1}{1-z_0} \dot{x}_*^{-2} \right) \tag{11}$$

where, without loss of generality, the star has been chosen to have unit mass. In the following, we will take the energy of the orbiting particle to be zero, $E = 0$. So

$$-1 = \frac{1-z_0}{(r_+^2 - r_-^2)^2}(r_+^2 - r_-^2/z_0) L^2 \tag{12}$$

From which one can see that, to obtain real angular momentum, L, the orbiting particle must be close enough to the black hole outer horizon, i.e., z_0 should satisfy

$$z_0 < \frac{r_-^2}{r_+^2} \tag{13}$$

which gives no constraint on z_0 for extremal black hole, $r_+ = r_-$.

In the absence of the orbiting particle, a scalar field in the black hole background is described by the free action, $S_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^3x\sqrt{-g}\,\nabla_\mu\Phi\nabla^\mu\Phi$. After adding the orbiting star, and let it be coupled to the scalar field with the following interaction,

$$S_{\rm int} = 4\pi\lambda\int d\tau\int d^3x\,\delta^3(x - x_*(\tau))\,\Phi(x) \tag{14}$$

So the orbiting star, as source, will excite the scalar mode which will propagate through the spacetime. The classical equation of motion of the scalar field reads

$$\sqrt{-g}\,\Box\Phi = -4\pi\lambda\int d\tau\delta^3(x - x_*(\tau)) \tag{15}$$

The integral of the source term on the right hand side could be performed explicitly,

$$-4\pi\lambda\,\frac{(r_+^2 - r_-^2)\ell}{(1-z_0)L}\,\delta\left(r_+x^+ + \frac{1}{z_0}r_-x^-\right)\delta(z - z_0) \tag{16}$$

So this source respects a Killing symmetry,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{z_0}r_-\partial_+ - r_+\partial_- \tag{17}$$

To conform to this Killing symmetry, one can make the following variable separating assumption for the scalar field,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x) &= \sum_j e^{-ij(r_+x^+ + r_-x^-/z_0)}R_j(z) \equiv \sum_j e^{-i(k_+x^+ + k_-x^-)}R_j(z)\\ &= \sum_j e^{-i(\omega t - m\phi)}R_j(z)\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and we have defined $k_+ = jr_+$, $k_- = jr_-/z_0$. In above, through matching the phase, we have identified the the energy and angular momentum of the mode excited by the star as $\omega = j\frac{r_+^2 - r_-^2/z_0}{\ell^2}$ and $m = j(1 - \frac{1}{z_0})\frac{r_+r_-}{\ell}$. One notices that both ω and m depend on the position z_0 of the star.

Substituting into the equation of motion, one find the following differential equation for the radial function,

$$z(1-z)R_j''(z) + (1-z)R_j'(z) + \left(\frac{k_+^2}{4z} - \frac{k_-^2}{4}\right)R_j(z) = -\tilde{\lambda}\,\delta(z - z_0) \tag{19}$$

where $\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{(r_+^2 - r_-^2)}{(1-z_0)}\frac{\lambda}{L}$. This is an non-homogeneous differential equation. For non-integer k_+, the two linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous equation, $\lambda = 0$, are

given by the hyper-geometric functions,

$$R_j^{(1)}(z) = z^{-ik_+/2}\,{}_2F_1\left(\frac{k_+ + k_-}{2i}, \frac{k_+ - k_-}{2i}, 1 - ik_+, z\right) \tag{20}$$

$$R_j^{(2)}(z) = z^{ik_+/2}\,{}_2F_1\left(-\frac{k_+ - k_-}{2i}, -\frac{k_+ + k_-}{2i}, 1 + ik_+, z\right) \tag{21}$$

Their asymptotic behaviors near the horizon are

$$R_j^{(1)}(z \to 0) \to z^{-ik_+/2}\,, \qquad R_j^{(2)}(z \to 0) \to z^{ik_+/2} \tag{22}$$

Using the constraint condition (13) on z_0, one can show that $R_j^{(2)}(z)$ is purely ingoing near the horizon and $R_j^{(1)}(z)$ is purely outgoing. Near the boundary,

$$R_j^{(1)}(z \to 1) \;\to\; \frac{\Gamma(1 - ik_+)}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{k_+ + k_-}{2i})\Gamma(1 + \frac{k_+ - k_-}{2i})} + \mathcal{O}(1 - z) \tag{23}$$

$$R_j^{(2)}(z \to 1) \;\to\; \frac{\Gamma(1 + ik_+)}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{k_+ + k_-}{2i})\Gamma(1 - \frac{k_+ - k_-}{2i})} + \mathcal{O}(1 - z) \tag{24}$$

which shows that the two homogeneous solutions are not fall-off near the boundary. To obtain a solution fall-off near the boundary, we can consider a linear combination of the two,

$$R_j^{(\mathrm{f})}(z) = c_j\, R_j^{(1)}(z) - c_j^*\, R_j^{(2)}(z) \tag{25}$$

where the complex number $c_j = \Gamma(1 + \frac{k_+ + k_-}{2i})\Gamma(1 + \frac{k_+ - k_-}{2i})/\Gamma(1 - ik_+)$. This 'far' solution has the fall-off behavior near the boundary, which is called Newmann boundary condition in [9],

$$R_j^{(\mathrm{f})}(z \to 1) = i\pi \frac{k_+^2 - k_-^2}{4} \frac{\sinh(\pi k_+)}{\sinh(\frac{k_+ + k_-}{2}\pi)\sinh(\frac{k_+ - k_-}{2}\pi)}(1 - z) + \mathcal{O}((1 - z)^2) \tag{26}$$

Since we want to calculate the decay rate, so only solution which is purely ingoing near the horizon is desired. In all, the solution of the inhomogeneous equation (19) with proper asymptotic behaviors can be constructed as follows

$$R_j(z) = \theta(z_0 - z)\, c_{\mathrm{n}}\, R_j^{(\mathrm{n})}(z) + \theta(z - z_0)\, c_{\mathrm{f}}\, R_j^{(\mathrm{f})}(z) \tag{27}$$

where $R_j^{(\mathrm{n})}(z) = R_j^{(2)}(z)$, c_{n} and c_{f} are two constants to be determined, $\theta(x)$ is the usual step function. Substituting into the equation of motion, the coefficients can be determined,

$$c_{\mathrm{n}} = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2}\frac{R_j^{(\mathrm{f})}(z_0)}{W}\,, \qquad c_{\mathrm{f}} = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2}\frac{R_j^{(\mathrm{n})}(z_0)}{W}\,, \tag{28}$$

where W is z-independent Wronskian,

$$W = z\big(R_j^{(\mathrm{f})}(z)R_j^{(\mathrm{n})\prime}(z) - R_j^{(\mathrm{f})\prime}(z)R_j^{(\mathrm{n})}(z)\big) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{k_+ + k_-}{2i})\Gamma(1+\frac{k_+ - k_-}{2i})}{\Gamma(-ik_+)} \tag{29}$$

With the above solution, one can calculate the Klein-Gordon particle number flux

$$\mathcal{F} = -\int d\phi\sqrt{-g}J^r = -\int d\phi\, r\frac{\partial r}{\partial z}J^z d\phi \tag{30}$$

with the current

$$J_\mu = \frac{i}{8\pi}(\Phi^*\nabla_\mu\Phi - \Phi\nabla_\mu\Phi^*) \tag{31}$$

The flux of mode-j is then

$$\mathcal{F}_j = -i\frac{r_+^2 - r_-^2}{2\ell^2}z\big(R_j^{*\prime}(z)R_j(z) - R_j^*(z)R_j'(z)\big) \tag{32}$$

Near the boundary, the flux vanishes, $\mathcal{F}_j(z\to 1) = 0$. Near the horizon,

$$\mathcal{F}_j(z\to 0) = \frac{(r_+^2 - r_-^2)k_+}{2\ell^2}\,|c_{\mathrm{n}}|^2 \tag{33}$$

So the decay rate of the particle, with energy ω and angular momentum m, excited by the source is [2]

$$\mathcal{R}_j^{(\mathrm{btz})} = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{\omega - m\Omega_{\mathrm{H}}}{T_{\mathrm{H}}}} - 1}\mathcal{F}_j(z\to 0) \tag{34}$$

where Ω_{H} is the angular velocity of the horizon, [11]

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{H}} = -\frac{g_{t\phi}}{g_{\phi\phi}}\bigg|_{r=r_+} = \frac{r_-}{\ell\, r_+} \tag{35}$$

Simple calculation shows that $\omega - m\Omega_{\mathrm{H}} = j\frac{r_+^2 - r_-^2}{\ell^2} = 2\pi j r_+ T_{\mathrm{H}}$, so another form of the decay rate is

$$\mathcal{R}_j^{(\mathrm{btz})} = \frac{1}{e^{2\pi k_+} - 1}\mathcal{F}_j(z\to 0) \tag{36}$$

An interesting fact to notice is that, the factor of the occupation number is independent of the position z_0 of the star, although the energy ω and angular momentum m of the excitation depend on z_0 separately. One can find that this is important for matching of decay rates in BTZ/CFT correspondence as shown in the following.

2.2 Decay rates on the CFT side

The pure BTZ black hole dynamics will correspond to a CFT defined on the boundary which is controlled by two copies of Virasoro algebras corresponding to left- and right-mover respectively. If the CFT is described by an action, $S_{\rm CFT}$, then when we add to the BTZ black hole a particle which is circulating the black hole, the action describing the CFT will be changed to, $S_{\rm CFT} + S^{\rm int}_{\rm CFT}$,

$$S^{\rm int}_{\rm CFT} = \int d\sigma^+ d\sigma^- \, J(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) O(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) \tag{37}$$

where $\sigma^\pm = t/\ell \pm \phi$, and t, ϕ are just the Schwarzschild coordinates of BTZ black hole space time. Since we consider only the coupling of the scalar field to the source (orbiting particle), so one expect the operator O is the CFT dual to the scalar field, and the source J is induced from the orbiting star.

To determine the source J, one should at first note that it should respect the Killing symmetry (17) which is respected by the orbiting particle. That is we must have the following mode expansion

$$J(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) \;\; = \;\; \sum_j e^{-ij(r_+ x^+ + r_- x^-/z_0)} J_j = \sum_j e^{-i(k_+ x^+ + k_- x^-)} J_j \tag{38}$$

In terms of $\sigma^\pm$,

$$x^\pm = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{2\ell}\sigma^+ \pm \frac{r_+ + r_-}{2\ell}\sigma^- \tag{39}$$

the source can then be written as,

$$J(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) = \sum_j e^{-i(p_+ \sigma^+ + p_- \sigma^-)} J_j \tag{40}$$

where $p_\pm \equiv \frac{(k_+ \pm k_-)(r_+ \mp r_-)}{2\ell}$ is defined. The interaction action then reads

$$S^{\rm int}_{\rm CFT} = \sum_j J_j \int d\sigma^+ d\sigma^- \, e^{-i(p_+ \sigma^+ + p_- \sigma^-)} O(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) \tag{41}$$

The vacuum-vacuum transition rate excited by the source is

$$\mathcal{R} = 2\pi \sum_j |J_j|^2 \int d\sigma^+ d\sigma^- \, e^{-i(p_+ \sigma^+ + p_- \sigma^-)} \langle O^\dagger(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) O(0,0) \rangle \tag{42}$$

The angle-bracket is two-point correlation function of operator O in the unperturbed CFT. The two point function of $\mathcal{O}$ and its Fourier transform can be found in references,

e.g., [6]. For the scalar case, the result is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d\sigma^+ d\sigma^-\, e^{-i(p_+\sigma^+ + p_-\sigma^-)} \langle O^\dagger(\sigma^+,\sigma^-) O(0,0)\rangle \\
&= C_O^2 (2\pi T_R)(2\pi T_L) e^{-\frac{p_-}{2T_R}-\frac{p_+}{2T_L}} \left|\Gamma\left(1+i\frac{p_-}{2\pi T_R}\right)\Gamma\left(1+i\frac{p_+}{2\pi T_L}\right)\right|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where the overall normalization constant C_O is not determined merely by the conformal invariance, and the left- and right-temperatures are defined by [7]

$$T_L = \frac{(r_+ - r_-)}{2\pi\ell}\,, \qquad T_R = \frac{(r_+ + r_-)}{2\pi\ell}\,, \tag{44}$$

Now the transition rate reads

$$\mathcal{R}^{(\mathrm{cft})} = 2\pi(r_+^2 - r_-^2)\sum_j C_O^2 |J_j|^2\, e^{-\pi k_+} \left|\Gamma\left(1-\frac{k_+ + k_-}{2i}\right)\Gamma\left(1-\frac{k_+ - k_-}{2i}\right)\right|^2 \tag{45}$$

The next task is to determine the source J_j which is related to the orbiting star in the bulk.

To do that, one extends the near horizon solution $R_j(z < z_0)$ to the whole spacetime in the bulk, $R_j^{\mathrm{ext}}(z) = c_{\mathrm{n}} R_j^{(\mathrm{n})}(z)$, $(0 < z < 1)$, and extract J_j from its Dirichlet mode on the boundary (that is, the term fall-off as $(1-z)^0$ near boundary) [9]

$$R_j^{\mathrm{ext}}(z \to 1) = c_{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\Gamma(1+ik_+)}{\Gamma(1-\frac{k_+ + k_-}{2i})\Gamma(1-\frac{k_+ - k_-}{2i})} \equiv J_j \tag{46}$$

With this source, the transition rate of mode-j can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_j^{(\mathrm{cft})} &= 2\pi(r_+^2 - r_-^2)|c_{\mathrm{n}}|^2 e^{-\pi k_+} \left|\Gamma(1+ik_+)\right|^2 C_O^2 \\
&= 2\pi^2(r_+^2 - r_-^2) k_+ |c_{\mathrm{n}}|^2 \frac{e^{-\pi k_+}}{\sinh(\pi k_+)} C_O^2 \\
&= 8\pi^2 \ell^2 C_O^2 \frac{1}{e^{2\pi k_+} - 1} \mathcal{F}_j(z \to 0)
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

which equals precisely to the result computed in the gravity side (36) if the coefficient is chosen to be $C_O^2 = 1/(8\pi^2\ell^2)$.

3 Near-horizon-extremal BTZ/Chiral CFT

In this section, we will compute the decay rate of scalar wave in the extremal BTZ spacetime and compare it to that computed in the CFT. The correspondence is Near-horizon-extremal BTZ/Chiral 2D-CFT (NHEBTZ/χCFT) [12, 13]. Before doing the computation, let us at first review some facts about this correspondence.

The metric of the extremal BTZ black hole can be obtained by setting $r_+ = r_- = r_{\rm H}$ in that of non-extremal one (1):

$$ds^2 = -\frac{(r^2 - r_{\rm H}^2)^2}{r^2\ell^2}dt^2 + \frac{r^2\ell^2}{(r^2 - r_{\rm H}^2)^2}dr^2 + r^2\left(d\phi - \frac{r_{\rm H}^2}{r^2\ell}dt\right)^2 \tag{48}$$

For the extremal BTZ black hole, its Hawking temperature is zero, $T_{\rm H} = 0$. Define null coordinates, $\hat{u} = t/\ell - \phi$, $\hat{v} = t/\ell + \phi$, and a new radial coordinate $r^2 - r_{\rm H}^2 = \ell^2\hat{y}^2$. In terms of the these new coordinates, the metric reads

$$ds^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{4}\frac{d\hat{y}^2}{\hat{y}^2} - \ell^2\hat{y}\, d\hat{u}d\hat{v} + r_{\rm H}^2 d\hat{u}^2 \tag{49}$$

One should note that the original periodic condition of the angular variable ϕ will leads to the following periodic conditions of the null variables,

$$(\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \approx (\hat{u} - 2\pi, \hat{v} + 2\pi) \tag{50}$$

It is pointed out that it is the quantum gravity on the near horizon region of the extremal BTZ spacetime that will correspond to a (chiral) two-dimension CFT on the boundary [13]. The near horizon limit can be obtained by defining

$$\hat{u} = \frac{\ell}{r_{\rm H}}u\,, \quad \hat{v} = \frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{r_{\rm H}}{\ell}v\,, \quad \hat{y} = \epsilon y \tag{51}$$

and letting $\epsilon \to 0$, and keeping u, v, y fixed. The important point is that on this near-horizon portion of the extremal BTZ spacetime, the periodic conditions of the null variables, u, v, become chiral:

$$(u, v) \approx (u - 2\pi\frac{r_{\rm H}}{\ell}, v) \tag{52}$$

That is, only the u has finite period, and ∂_u will be matched to the left-translation on the (chiral) CFT and related to the finite left temperature,

$$T_L = \frac{r_{\rm H}}{\pi\ell} \tag{53}$$

which can be obtained apparently by letting $r_+ = r_- = r_{\rm H}$ in non-extremal case discussed in the previous section (44). ∂_v will be matched to the right-translation on the (chiral) CFT and related to the vanishing right temperature, $T_R = 0$. So one can make the following identification

$$u = \frac{r_{\rm H}}{\ell}\sigma^+\,, \quad v = \sigma^- \tag{54}$$

where $\sigma^{\pm} = \tau \pm \sigma$ are coordinates of the CFT defined on a (chiral) strip with normalized identification, $(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) \approx (\sigma^+ + 2\pi, \sigma^-)$ [15].

In terms of the near horizon coordinate (51), the black hole metric reads

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{\ell^2}{4}\frac{dy^2}{y^2} - \ell^2 y\, dudv + \ell^2 du^2 \\ &= \frac{\ell^2}{4}\left(-y^2 dv^2 + \frac{dy^2}{y^2}\right) + \ell^2\left(du - \frac{y}{2}dv\right)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

which is an S^1-fibration on AdS_2. The fibre direction u, similar to the near-horizon-extremal RN black hole/CFT correspondence [16], is mapped to the left-direction σ^+ of the chiral CFT as stated in the previous paragraph. The near-horizon geometry (55) has isometry group,

$$SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times U(1)\,, \tag{56}$$

where the factor $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ is generated by the following Killing vectors [12],

$$H_{-1} = \partial_v\,, \quad H_0 = v\partial_v - y\partial_y\,, \quad H_{+1} = \left(v^2 + \frac{1}{y^2}\right)\partial_v - 2vy\partial_y + \frac{1}{y}\partial_u \tag{57}$$

and the $U(1)$ factor is generated by

$$Q_0 = \partial_u \tag{58}$$

As pointed out in [13], in the NHEBTZ/χCFT correspondence, the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ isometries are realized trivially on the boundary CFT: it is associated to the re-parametrization of the non-compact v on the boundary. The physical states do not carry global charges of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$, they only carry the u-momentum, Q_0, which is the zero mode of a full Virasoro algebra. This 'chiral' correspondence [1] is very different from other Gravity/CFT correspondences, e.g., the usual $\mathrm{AdS}_3/\mathrm{CFT}_2$ correspondence [17] and Kerr/CFT correspondence (see Ref. [18] and references therein); the asymptotic symmetry group (ASG) includes two Virasoro algebras. For example, in Kerr/CFT, the isometry group of the near horizon geometry is $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times U(1)$, however, in the ASG, the zero-mode of the L Virasoro algebra corresponds to the $U(1)$ isometry group [19]; for the R Virasoro algebra, there is an $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ subgroup that can be identified as the isometry group [20]. (For the discussion of the symplectic symmetry algebra, which is different from the ASG, please refer to Ref. [21].)

[1] I.e., only one Virasoro symmetry acting on the boundary CFT.

3.1 Decay rate on the gravity side

Just like the non-extremal black hole case discussed in the previous section, we will compute the decay rate of the scalar wave excited by an orbiting star at the near horizon region of the extremal BTZ spacetime. In the BTZ spacetime two Killing vectors ∂_t and ∂_ϕ become $\xi_{(u)} = \partial_u$ and $\xi_{(v)} = \partial_v$ in the near-horizon region (55), there are two corresponding conserved quantities,

$$\ell^2 C_u = -g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}_*^\mu \xi_{(u)}^\nu = \frac{\ell^2}{2} y_0 \dot{u}_* \tag{59}$$

$$\ell^2 C_v = g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}_*^\mu \xi_{(v)}^\nu = \ell^2 \dot{u}_* - \frac{\ell^2}{2} y_0 \dot{v}_* \tag{60}$$

where u_*, v_* are orbiting-coordinates of the star, the constant y_0 is the radial position of the star. The solution is given by

$$u_* = \frac{2C_u}{y_0}\tau + u_0\,, \qquad v_* = \frac{2}{y_0}\left(\frac{2C_u}{y_0} - C_v\right)\tau + v_0 \tag{61}$$

with τ the proper time. Two constants C_u and C_v are linear combination of the 'energy' E and 'angular momentum' L of the star, they are further constrained by the on-shell condition of the star (e.g., with unit mass without loss of generality), $-1 = -\ell^2 y_0\, \dot{u}_*\dot{v}_* + \ell^2 \dot{u}_*^2$.

Let the star be coupled to the massless scalar field, the corresponding action reads,

$$S = S_{\rm EH} - \frac{1}{2}\int d^3x\,\sqrt{-g}\nabla_\mu\Phi\nabla^\mu\Phi + \lambda\int d\tau \int d^3x\,\delta^3(x - x_*)\Phi(x) \tag{62}$$

$S_{\rm EH}$ is the Einstein-Hilbert action. The equation of motion for the scalar field is [2]

$$\sqrt{-g}\,\Box\Phi = -\frac{y_0^2}{4C_u}\lambda\,\delta(u - \frac{y_0}{2}v)\delta(y - y_0) \tag{63}$$

[2] One simple calculation shows that

$$\sqrt{-g}\,\Box\Phi = \frac{\ell^3}{4}\left[H_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}(H_{-1}H_{+1} + H_{+1}H_{-1})\right]\Phi$$

where the operator on the right-hand side is just the 2nd-order Casimir operator of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ up to a constant factor. Now according to a similar analysis in [12], a general scalar field solution of homogeneous equation with $\lambda = 0$, $\sqrt{-g}\,\Box\Phi = 0$, can be expanded in terms of the highest weight solution ϕ_h, which satisfies $H_{+1}\phi_h = 0$ and $H_0\phi_h = h\phi_h$, and their descendants $D_n = (H_{-1})^n\phi_h$ (with $n = 1, 2, \dots$), $\Phi = \sum_{n=0} a_n D_n + \text{h.c.}$. This comes from that fact that H_{-1} commutes with the 2nd-order Casimir operator. Furthermore, corresponding to each highest weight solution, there is a primary operator $\mathcal{O}_h$ in the boundary field theory. The non-homogeneous solution for $\lambda \neq 0$ will be constructed from the homogeneous solutions via the Green function methods which will be discussed in the following.

The source has Killing symmetry, $\chi = \partial_u + \frac{2}{y_0}\partial_v = Q_0 + \frac{2}{y_0}H_{-1}$, which is the linear combination of the generator of $U(1)$ and one generator of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$. Since there is an expansion of Dirac delta function as sum, $\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{inx}$, we can separate the variables of the scalar field by

$$\Phi = \sum_j e^{ij(u-\frac{y_0}{2}v)} R_j(y) \equiv \sum_j e^{iju - i\omega v} R_j(y) \tag{64}$$

where $j = m\frac{\ell}{r_{\rm H}}$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\omega = j\frac{y_0}{2} = m\frac{y_0\ell}{2r_{\rm H}}$. Substituting this expansion into the equation of motion, one find the radial equation,

$$(y^2 R_j'(y))' + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{y^2} - \frac{j\omega}{y}\right) R_j(y) = -\tilde{\lambda}\delta(y-y_0) \tag{65}$$

where $\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{y_0^2 r_{\rm H}}{2\pi\ell^4 C_u}\lambda$. Two linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous equation, $\lambda = 0$, are given by the hypergeometric functions:

$$R_j^{(1)}(y) = \frac{e^{i\omega/y}}{y}\, {}_1F_1\left(1+\frac{ij}{2}, 2, -\frac{2i\omega}{y}\right) \tag{66}$$

$$R_j^{(2)}(y) = \frac{e^{i\omega/y}}{y}\, U\left(1+\frac{ij}{2}, 2, -\frac{2i\omega}{y}\right) \tag{67}$$

The asymptotic behavior near the horizon, $y \to 0$,

$$R_j^{(1)}(y) \sim e^{i\omega/y} y^{ij/2} \frac{(2i\omega)^{-1-ij/2}}{\Gamma(1-ij/2)} + e^{-i\omega/y} y^{-ij/2} \frac{(-2i\omega)^{-1+ij/2}}{\Gamma(1+ij/2)} \tag{68}$$

$$R_j^{(2)}(y) \sim e^{i\omega/y} y^{ij/2} (-2i\omega)^{-1-ij/2} \tag{69}$$

which shows that $R_j^{(2)}$ is purely ingoing near the horizon. Near the boundary of the near horizon region, $y \to \infty$,

$$R_j^{(1)}(y) \sim y^{-1} \tag{70}$$

$$R_j^{(2)}(y) \sim \frac{1}{j\omega\Gamma(\frac{ij}{2})} + (A + B\log y) y^{-1} \tag{71}$$

where $A = \frac{i-j+2j\gamma_E + j\log(-2i\omega) + j\psi^{(0)}(1+ij/2)}{j\Gamma(ij/2)}$, $B = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(ij/2)}$ (γ_E is the Euler constant, $\psi^{(0)}(x) = \Gamma'[x]/\Gamma[x]$). The above asymptotic behavior shows that $R_j^{(1)}$ satisfies pure Neumann boundary condition.

In order to describe ingoing particle flux of black hole, one can construct the proper solution of the (in-homogeneous) equation of motion as Ref. [9],

$$R_j(y) = \theta(y_0 - y) C_2 R_j^{(2)}(y) + \theta(y - y_0) C_1 R_j^{(1)}(y) \tag{72}$$

which is purely ingoing near the horizon and satisfies pure Neumann boundary condition near the boundary. The constants $C_{1,2}$ are

$$C_1 = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2}\frac{R_j^{(2)}(y_0)}{W}\,, \qquad C_2 = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2}\frac{R_j^{(1)}(y_0)}{W} \tag{73}$$

where the Wronskian is defined by,

$$W = y^2(R_j^{(1)}(y)R_j^{(2)\prime}(y) - R_j^{(2)}(y)R_j^{(1)\prime}(y)) = \frac{1}{j\omega\Gamma(\frac{ij}{2})} \tag{74}$$

which is y-independent constant.

Now we can compute the Klein-Gordon particle number flux per unit time,

$$\mathcal{F} = -\int \sqrt{-g}\, J^y du \tag{75}$$

where the current J_μ is defined by, $J_\mu = \frac{i}{8\pi}(\Phi^*\nabla_\mu\Phi - h.c.) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\mathrm{Im}(\Phi^*\nabla_\mu\Phi)$. The flux of mode-$j$ is

$$\mathcal{F}_j = \frac{r_\mathrm{H}}{2}y^2\mathrm{Im}(R_j^*\partial_y R_j) \tag{76}$$

Near boundary, $\mathcal{F}_j(y\to\infty) = 0$. Near the horizon,

$$\mathcal{F}_j(y\to 0) = \frac{r_\mathrm{H}}{8|\omega|}e^{\pi j/2}|C_2|^2 \tag{77}$$

for $\omega = jy_0/2 < 0$.

3.2 Decay rate on the CFT side

The introduction of the star into the gravity system will lead to the perturbation of the CFT action which is expected by the Gravity/CFT correspondence. Similar to the non-extremal case discussed in the previous section, the unperturbed CFT action, S_CFT, is changed to $S_\mathrm{CFT} + S^\mathrm{int}_\mathrm{CFT}$, where

$$S^\mathrm{int}_\mathrm{CFT} = \int d\sigma^+ d\sigma^- J(\sigma^+,\sigma^-)\mathcal{O}(\sigma^+,\sigma^-) \tag{78}$$

The source J will be determined by the asymptotic behavior of the scalar field, so it could be expanded just like the scalar field,

$$J(\sigma^+,\sigma^-) = \sum_j e^{iju - i\omega v}J_j = \sum_j e^{i(m\sigma^+ - \omega\sigma^-)}J_j \tag{79}$$

where $m = \frac{jr_{\rm H}}{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}$ as defined in the previous subsection, and we have used the NHEBTZ/χCFT dictionary discussed in the beginning of this section, $u = \frac{r_{\rm H}}{\ell}\sigma^+$, $v = \sigma^-$. Furthermore, from the asymptotic behavior of the scalar fields, (70) and (71), the conformal weight of the operator $\mathcal{O}$ can be read off, $h_L = h_R = 1$.

The decay rate of vacuum-to-vacuum per unit time is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R} &= 2\pi \sum_j |J_j|^2 \int d\sigma^+ d\sigma^- e^{i(m\sigma^+ - \omega\sigma^-)} \langle \mathcal{O}(\sigma^+,\sigma^-)\mathcal{O}(0,0)\rangle \\ &= 2\pi \sum_j |J_j|^2 C_O^2 (2\pi T_R)(2\pi T_L) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2T_R}+\frac{m}{2T_L}} \left|\Gamma\left(1+i\frac{\omega}{2\pi T_R}\right)\Gamma\left(1-i\frac{m}{2\pi T_L}\right)\right|^2\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

where we have used (43) and C_O is a normalization constant. Using $m = jr_{\rm H}/\ell$, $T_L = r_{\rm H}/(\pi\ell)$ and taking the limit $T_R \to 0$, the decay rate becomes,

$$\mathcal{R} = 2\pi \sum_j |J_j|^2 C_O^2 \frac{2r_{\rm H}}{\ell} e^{\pi j/2} |\Gamma(1-ij/2)|^2 \, 2\pi|\omega| \tag{81}$$

for $\omega < 0$.

To determine the source J_j, as done in the non-extremal case, we can extend the near horizon solution to the whole spacetime region, $R_j(y < y_0) \to R_j^{\rm ext}(y) = C_2 R_j^{(2)}(y), (0 < y < \infty)$. The asymptotic behavior of this extended solution reads, see (71),

$$R_j^{\rm ext}(y \to \infty) \sim C_2 \frac{1}{j\omega\Gamma(\frac{ij}{2})} + C_2(A + B\log y) y^{-1} \tag{82}$$

Then J_j is the coefficient of y^0 (the boundary Dirichlet mode),

$$J_j = \frac{C_2}{j\omega\Gamma(\frac{ij}{2})} \tag{83}$$

With this source, the decay rate is

$$\mathcal{R} = C_O^2 \frac{2\pi^2 r_{\rm H}}{|\omega|\ell} e^{\pi j/2} |C_2|^2 \tag{84}$$

for $\omega < 0$. This decay rate is precisely matched with (77) computed in gravity side if we take $C_O^2 = \frac{\ell}{16\pi^2}$.

4 Final remarks

We have shown that the correspondence between the BTZ black hole and the CFT defined on the boundary ensures that the decay rates of massless scalar waves computed

on both sides match precisely. Two cases are discussed. The first case is for non-extremal BTZ/non-chiral CFT correspondence. A point should be mentioned is that since the temperature is finite for non-extremal BTZ black hole, so there is a Planck factor (occupation number) appearing in the expression of the decay rate. The second case is for the near-horizon-extremal BTZ/chiral 2D-CFT correspondence. Since the temperature is zero in this case, there is no Planck factor in the expression of the decay rate. This is similar to the cases discussed in [9, 10]: the Planck factor is trivial in the extremal black hole spacetime.

As shown in [9, 10], it is interesting to examine whether other types of excitations give further evidences to the BTZ/CFT correspondence: gravity waves, vector waves, etc.. These questions will be explored in other places.

Acknowledgments. R. L. and J.-K. Z. are supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11205048). R. L. is also supported by the Foundation for Young Key Teacher of Henan Normal University.

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231.

S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov, Gauge Theory Correlators from Non-Critical String Theory, Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 105.

E. Witten, Anti De Sitter Space And Holography, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253.

[2] J. M. Maldacena, A. Strominger, Universal Low-Energy Dynamics for Rotating Black Holes, Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997) 4975.

[3] S. Das, A. Dasgupta, Black Hole Emission Rates and the AdS/CFT Correspondence, JHEP 9910 (1999) 025.

[4] H.J.W. Mller-Kirsten, N. Ohta, J.-G. Zhou, AdS_3/CFT Correspondence, Poincar Vacuum State and Greybody Factors in BTZ Black Holes, Phys. Lett. **B445** (1999) 287.

[5] D. Birmingham, I. Sachs, S. Sen, Exact Results for the BTZ Black Hole, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D10** (2001) 833.

[6] I. Bredberg, T. Hartman, W. Song, A. Strominger, Black Hole Superradiance From Kerr/CFT, JHEP 1004 (2010) 019.

[7] D. Birmingham, Choptuik Scaling and Quasinormal Modes in the AdS/CFT Correspondence, Phys.Rev. **D64** (2001) 064024.

D. Birmingham, I. Sachs, S. N. Solodukhin, Conformal Field Theory Interpretation of Black Hole Quasi-normal Modes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 151301.

[8] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim, J. Zanelli, The Black hole in three-dimensional spacetime, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 1849.

M. Banados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, J. Zanelli, Geometry of the (2+1) black hole, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 1506.

[9] A. P. Porfyriadis, A. Strominger, Gravity Waves from Kerr/CFT, Phys. Rev. **D90** (2014) 044038.

[10] N. Bai, Y.-H. Gao, X.-B. Xu, On neutral scalar radiation by a massive orbiting star in extremal Kerr-Newman black hole, Fortsch. Phys. **63** (2015) 323, arXiv:1407.0089.

[11] E. Poisson, A relativist's toolkit: the mathematics of black-hole mechanics, §5.3, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[12] A. Strominger, AdS_2 Quantum Gravity and String Theory, JHEP 9901 (1999) 007.

[13] V. Balasubramanian, J. de Boer, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, J. Simon, What is a chiral 2d CFT? And what does it have to do with extremal black holes? JHEP 1002 (2010) 017.

[14] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, J.A. Wheeler, Gravitation, §33.5, Freeman, 1973.

[15] J. L. Cardy, Operator Content of Two-Dimensional Conformally Invariant Theories, Nucl.Phys. **B270** (1986) 186.

[16] T. Hartman, K. Murata, T. Nishioka and A. Strominger, CFT duals for extreme black holes, JHEP 0904 (2009) 019.

[17] J.D. Brown, M. Henneaux, Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: an example from three-dimensional gravity, Commun. Math. Phys. **104** (1986) 207.

[18] I. Bredberg, C. Keeler, V. Lysov, and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. **B**, Proc. Suppl. **216** (2011) 194.

G. Compere, Living Rev. Relativity **15** (2012) 11.

[19] M. Guica, T. Hartman, W. Song, A. Strominger, The Kerr/CFT correspondence, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 124008 (2009).

[20] A. Castro, F. Larsen, Near extremal Kerr entropy from AdS_2 quantum gravity, JHEP **12** (2009) 037.

[21] G. Compre, K. Hajian, A. Seraj, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Extremal rotating black holes in the near-horizon limit: Phase space and symmetry algebra, Phys. Lett. **B749**, 443 (2015).

G. Compre, K. Hajian, A. Seraj, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Wiggling throat of extremal black holes, JHEP **10** (2015) 093.